

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/980,199	NEULAND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Isaac N. Hamilton.

(3) Mr. Wolfgang Schaefer.

(2) Mr. Martin Geissler.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Pictures of the instant invention were shown including the features of the vacuum conveyor channel. Mr. Geissler had strips of the material that is being produced by the instant invention to show the brittle nature of the strips.

Claim(s) discussed: Z.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Geissler and Mr Schaefer demonstrated the operation of the instant invention through color photographs and a detailed verbal explanation in order to clarify the 35 USC 112 issues. Mr. Schaefer asserted that a revised drawing will be submitted in order to correct a draftsman error in figure 3. Mr. Schaefer asserts that the changes to figure 3 is supported by the specification and would not be new matter. The Examiner and the applicant agree that the vacuum conveyor channel does not appear to be disclosed in the prior art.